

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/788,131	02/16/2001	Adrian Gilbert	60623-A/JPW/GJG/CSN	5640	
7	7590 08/27/2002				
Cooper & Dunham LLP			EXAMINER		
1185 Avenue o New York, NY	of the Americas 7 10036	DECLOUX, AMY M			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644	Â	
		DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/788,131		GILBERT ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	J.1100 , 1011011	Amy M. DeC	loux	1644			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the c	over sheet with the c				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1.\▽	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	5 June 2002 .					
1)⊠	•	This action is n	on-final.				
2a)□	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except f	or formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43,50-55 and 61</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>55</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43,50-54 and 61</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) t	oe neid in abeyance. provod b\□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	EAGIIIII ICI .					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	) (s)	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/788,131

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a pharmaceutical composition comprising copolymer 1 and:
- 2. A) a specific percent composition of microcrystalline cellulose by weight, such as 50% as recited in claim 2,
- 3. B) microcrystalline cellulose with a distinct moisture content such as 5% as recited by claim 6,
- 4. C) a specific disintegrant such as starch as recited in claim 9,
- 5. (If starch is elected as the disintegrant, then applicant is further required to elect a moisture content of said starch, such as 12% as recited by claim 12,)
- 6. D) a specific lubricant, such as magnesium stearate as recited by claim 16,
- 7. E) a specific enteric coating, such as methacrylic acid copolymer as recited in claim 19,
- 8. F) a specific film coating under the enteric coating, such as PVA as recited in claim 22,
- 9. G) a specific solid form such as a tablet as recited in claim 24,
- 10. H) a specific carrier suitable for application to the mucosal linings of a subject, such as chitosan as recited in claim 33,
- 11. I) a specific anti-microbial preservative, such as methyl paraben as recited in claim 35,
  Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for
  prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally
  held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic in at least one aspect.

Application/Control Number: 09/788,131

Art Unit: 1644

. . .

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/788,131

Art Unit: 1644

Charles.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy M. DeCloux whose telephone number is 703 306-5821. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 703 308-3973. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-3014 for regular communications and 703 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0196.

Amy DeCloux, Ph.D. Patent Examiner, Group 1640,

August 25, 2002

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.,

Primary Patent Examiner, Group 1640,